Adhesive capsulitis pdf exercises

The adhesive capsule (AC), often referred to as the Frozen Shoulder, is characterized first by painful and later gradually limited active and passive glenogumeral (GH) common range of motion with spontaneous full or near-complete recovery over a varied period of time. Image R: File:MRI Thickened joint capsule, especially in inferior recess may be a sign of AC Common names for AC include: Frozen shoulder Painful hard shoulder periarthritis This inflammatory condition causes fibrosis of the joint capsule GH, accompanied by gradually progressive stiffness and a significant limitation of movement range (usually external rotation). In clinical practice, it can be very difficult to differentiate early stages of AC from other shoulder pathologies. [1] The short video below gives a good summary of the condition. [2] Epidemiology/Etiology is currently unclear for this condition. The pathological of the frozen shoulder, however, is complex and multifactorium with both genetic and environmental factors playing an important role. [3] For a long time, a hypothesis based on arthroscopic and pathological observations is carried out that there is an inflammatory component in the anterior fold. This is followed by stiffness and adversity, which leads to fibrosis of the synovial lining, which is associated with inflammation. AC can be: Primary - Onset is usually an idiopathic (it comes without attributing the cause) secondary - results from a known cause that creates a factor or surgical event. [4] Secondary frozen shoulder may be the result of several prone factors. For example, after surgery, after a stroke, and after an injury. Where movement patterns can be changed after injury to protect painful structures, that in turn will change the movement of shoulder control, reducing the range of movement, and gradually freeze the joint. Adhesive capsule is more common in females, as approximately 70% of individuals who have a frozen shoulder are females. Among those aged 35-65, with an estimated 2-5% in the general population, [6][5][7][8][9][10] In China and Japan, it is called the 50-year-old shoulder due to its prevalence at this age. Within the diabetic population, with a rate of 20% . [8] [10] If a person had ac (5-34% chance of having him in the contralateral shoulder at some point). Simultaneous bilateral involvement was found in approximately 14% of cases. [5] Risk Factors && Red Flags Specific populations and populations to consider diabetics: Again, there is a high incidence in diabetes patients. These patients generally do not respond well to treatment, nor do non-diabetic patients make hypothyrosis: May have an impact because we can develop muscle pain and sensitivity and stiffness with hypothyrosis. Metabolic syndrome: Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of conditions occurring together that increase the risk of, among other things, type two The disease process affects the anestic capsule of the joints, ink recess and coracohumeral ligament. Patients tend to have a small joint with loss of an ink fold, a dense anus capsule and mild to moderate synovitis, but no actual glues. [1] [10] Rotator cuff spacing contraction is also observed in patients with adhesive capsulitis, and greatly contributes to the decrease in the range of movement observed in this population. [5] There continues to be disagreement over whether the underlying pathology is an inflammatory condition, fibrossing condition, or an algoneurdistrophytic process. Evidence suggests synovial inflammation followed by capsule fibrosis, in which type I and III collagen is laid with subsequent tissue contraction. [1] Elevated serum cytokine levels have been noted and tissue repair and remodeling are made easier during inflammatory processes. In primary and some secondary cases, cytokine adhesive capsules have shown that they are involved in the cellular mechanism, leading to persistent inflammation and fibrosis. It is suggested that there is an imbalance between aggressive fibrosis and loss of normal collagen remodeling, which can lead to the rigidity of the capsule and ligagency structures. [5] Characteristics/Clinical manifestations Patients with adhesive capsule often report an insidious onset with progressive increases in pain, as well as a gradual decrease in active and passive range of movement. [5] [7] One of the main factors presenting is the loss of external rotation (ER) in a dependent position with your hand down side by side. Patients often experience difficulties with care, overhead activities, dressing and especially fasteners behind their backs. [12] Adhesive capsule is considered a self-delimitation disease with sources indicating symptom resolution after 6 months to 11 years. Unfortunately, the symptoms can never fully subside in many patients. [12] [5] [13] [14] [6] [7] [15] [16] [9] [1][14] Acute/freezing/painful phase progresses through three overlapping clinical phases:[1][14][14][4] Acute/freezing/painful phase: Gradual onset of resting shoulder pain with sudden pain at extremes of movement, and pain at night with sleep interruption that can last anywhere from 2 months. Glue/frozen/stiff phase: Pain begins to subside, progressive loss of movement of GH in capsule pattern. Pain is evident only at extremes of movement. This phase can occur in about 4 months and last about 12 months. Resolution/thawing phase: Spontaneous, progressive improvement in the functional range of motion that can take 5 to 24 months. Despite this, some studies suggest it is a self-denoitating condition, and can last up to three years. While other studies have shown that up to 40% of patients may have persistent symptoms and movement restrictions for three years. An estimated 15% may have persistent pain and long-term disability. Effective treatments reducing the duration of symptoms and disability will be of great importance for reducing incidence. Disturbed sleep In the early part and middle part of this condition (freezing and frozen phase, respectful), sleep is often interrupted and disturbed. As the patient progresses, this can get worse, and there is good evidence that lack of adversity, pain and depression form a tightly interconnected triangle where changes in one will affect the other two. Therefore, it is important that clinicians monitor the quality of sleep and take measures of results to quantify the signs and symptoms. Useful questionnaires include the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index and the Medical Sleep Study Scale (MOS-Sleep), which includes 12 counts assessing sleep disturbances, sleep adequacy, sleep, sleep, sleep count, snoring and waking shortness of breath or with headaches. Anatomical considerations for adhesive capsule: There is a change in free space and available volume around the GH joint as the patient develops contractions over the frozen shoulder. It is suspected that the space surrounding joint GH decreases from 15 to 35 cubic centimeters (cm), to 5-6 cubic cm. In addition, capsule changes are assumed to be similar to those changes that occur in the hand through the Dupuytrens contracture. There may also be thickening and fibrosis of rotator spacing at the top of the cuff, which then causes contraction and fibrosis of GH ligaments. This reduction in the lower glenogumeric ligament seems to be what makes the biggest difference. When considering the anatomical location of the lower glenogumeric ligament, it acts as a hammock at the bottom of the joint with the front back band. If this ligament is tightened, it can reduce the amount of movement of the accessory that is available on the GH joint. Quick word on capsule: This allows for approximately 2 to 3 millimeters of distraction, which is important for joint GH. Independently, it provides little contribution to common stability. However, the tendon cuff rotator muscles inserted into the capsule. Therefore, the dynamic action of the rotator cuff can affect the voltage inside the capsule. Overall, both ligaments and muscles are inserted directly into the capsule, providing an indirect reference to the stability of the joint GH. The final consideration is nervousness, which can change locally due to an inflammatory reaction that can attribute to our understanding of capsule. Evaluation Subjective assessment The patient's history of listening carefully to the patient's past history of disease (PMHx), this may well exclude red flags and guide shoulder examinations. Status Representation History (Hx PC). Pain distribution and severity: A strong component of night pain, pain with rapid or unprotected movement, discomfort lying on the affected shoulder, pain is easily enhanced by movement. Pain can be anywhere from the base skull, I will put my hands in my hand. Aggravation of activity - limited achievement, especially during overhead (for example, hanging clothing) or in the direction (for example, the seizure of the seat belt). Patients also suffer from limited shoulder rotation, which has led to difficulties in personal hygiene, clothing and hair cleansing. Another common comorbid condition with a frozen shoulder is neck pain, mostly derived from excessive use of the cervical muscles to compensate for loss of shoulder movement[11]Observation of posture and positioning of the shoulder blade of the involved shoulder may be observed from the posterior and/or lateral point of view. [13] Screen: Upper Quarter Overview (UQE) and Neurological Screen (Dermatomas, Myotomas, Reflexes) A full UQE should be carried out to exclude cervical spinal lesions or any neurological pathologies. [5] Movement assessment range should be performed - active/passive/oversupplied cervix, chest, shoulder ROM with OU, and rib mobility. Scapular replacement often accompanies the active movement of the shoulder. [5] Shoulder fold/ABd/ER/IR RCH and IR measurement method in patients with suspected adhesive capsule varies in the literature. [10] [17] [18] Patients with adhesive capsule typically have ROM restrictions in the capsule pattern. The capsule pattern is a proportional limitation of movement unique to each joint, indicating irritation of the entire joint. The shoulder joint has a capsule pattern where external rotation is more limited than theft, which is more limited than internal rotation (limitations ER > ABD restrictions > IC). [17] In the case of an adhesive capsule, ER is significantly limited compared to I.D. and ABD, while ABD and I.D. have not been seen as different. Controversy over Capsular Joint GH Pattern When we examine the teaching traditions of Cyriax, it revolved around a capsular GH joint pattern. He suggested that the patient would have the greatest limitation in passive external (lateral) rotation with the subsequent limitation in passive abduction, followed by the latest limitation in passive internal (medial) rotation. According

to Cyriax, if a patient is presented in this typical capsule pattern, they are more likely to have joint GH arthritis. If motion restrictions did not follow this capsule pattern, it was suspected as a non-capsule pattern, suspected disorder, or extra joint pathologies. In the past, there has been some debate in literature as to whether there is a capsule shoulder pattern. However, it is definitely something to consider when examining the patient. The importance of assessing GH ligaments is inferior to the GH ligament: The hammock at the bottom of the joint. It has an antenna band, a back band and a less laid out section in the middle (pouch). The contraindication stabilizes the joint with ABDuction and external (lateral) rotation. When the hand moves in and the outer (lateral) rotation of the front band will move upwards through the front of the joint, ensuring the anesthrone stabilization (clinically relevant for throwing movements). Medium ligament GH: Stabilizes joint GH in ADDuction plus external rotation in ABDuction and external rotation (approximately 45 degrees ABDuction). Improved ligament GH: Stabilizes joint GH in ADDuction. Limits external (lateral) rotation and inferior translation of the shoulder head. Coracohumeral ligament: Boundary extension through the front part. Limits bending through the back. Inferior and posterior shoulder movements of the translation head are also limited. By evaluating the capsule of the joint, you evaluate the available freedom of movement, or the movement of accessories on the joint. At 60 degrees ABDuction, you have equal voltage across all GH connections. This position will give a general indication of global joint stiffness of GH. You want to compare to a contralateral shoulder as well. Evaluation of higher GH ligament and coracohumeral ligaments The patient is lying on the treatment table, where the jump is supported by the hand nearby. And we passively apply external (lateral) rotation until we reach the final range. Then apply a front slip on the shoulder of the head, which will assess the back and side band of these two ligaments. If then you further extend the shoulder by 10 degrees, which will allow you to evaluate the front and medial band of coracohumeral ligaments. If these specific structures (GH ligament) are tightened, we may experience a change in shoulder arthrokinematics, with increased front remarkable translation when bending. This will then reduce that already small sub-adromic space and could jeopardize the soft tissue passing through space. In addition, inferior translation can be reduced, 10th translation by 0 degrees can be reduced and the rear translation in bending can be reduced. Which, in turn, can lead to compromise of sub-adromic space and self-re-re-occupational prophecy about causing pain and dysfunction. Assessment of the ligament of the average HH Again, the patient lying in a treatment bed, so their scabbular stabilizes with his hand nearby. Then you take the glenogumeral joint in a 10 degree extension. Apply external (side) rotation to the end of the range. At that point, slide your shoulder 45 degrees ABDuction, then apply an ano-medial slip in the plane of the blade. You're basically placing a kind of posterior front pressure, again in a scapula plane. Evaluate the degree of translation and compare this with the contralateral side. Lower ligament assessment GH Front band: Patient lying lying lying lying on treatment table with supported scalpular. Have them in the same position as they were before to assess other GH connections. Move your shoulder from this position 45 degrees with expansion, up to 90 degrees ABDuction external rotation. Then apply a pre-media slip to apply pressure to the head of the shoulder. Evaluate the quality and quantity of humeral head translation. Practice caution in this position if you suspect any instability. It's like a detention test. Be careful with a wriggle or a take-out. Back lane: The patient lay lying lying lying on the treatment table with scapulted support. Place your shoulder in ABDuction and the inner (media-like) rotation. Similarly, this band moves up the middle of the back of the joint to give it that back resilience. Their shoulder is in 90 degree hijacking and 10 degree expansion. Apply full internal (media) rotation. Apply a gentle front lateral slip to joint GH. Apply pressure and assess the quality and number of movements of the accessory. Rear capsule assessment: The patient lay on the treatment table with a supported scapult. Place the shoulder in a 90 degree bending, full internal (medial) rotation, followed by the final range of the horizontal ADDuction. Apply axial stress in the posterior side direction. You drive through a plane of a jumper and you are looking for this translation. This is similar to testing for posterior instability of the GH joint (this test for posterior labral tears). We are aware of the patient's response to this position. Resistance to muscle tests Of the shoulder external rotation (ER)/ Internal rotation (IP)/abduction (ABd) (sedentary) must be performed. In patients with adhesive capsule, weakness in the shoulders of ER, I.O.O.A. and ABD is relatively asymptomatic. [5] Patients may also have significant muscle protection. Remember the stage of the adhesive capsule, in which you suspect your patient before subjecting them to muscle tests (manual muscle testing or isokinetic dynamometer). Glenogumeral Joint Accessory Movements: Terior Inferior Rear Capsule StretchThe idea of shoulder artrokinematics. Overview of even movements: Bending / internal (media- rotating / horizontal bending = shabby wonderful translation of the pleating head. Expansion and external (lateral) rotation / hijacking and external (lateral) rotation = rear translation of the head shoulder. In patients with adhesive capsule, anteriotic and inferior capsule will be the most limited, but joint mobility will be limited in all directions. [17] Why are the movements of accessories with an adhesive capsule? The assessment of accessory movements will provide a clinician with an indication of the global stiffness of the shoulder joint. When assessing the shoulder, it is important to always compare any movements (including slipping accessory) with the contralateral shoulder. Keep in mind that the assumption is that the contralaterative shoulder is normal. As noted earlier, this is not always the case with a frozen shoulder. The quality of movement / movements of accessories is the same as as quantity. Understanding the capsule - Proprioceptive role If the capsule is dense, the glenogumeral translations (accessory movements) will occur earlier in the range of motion and most likely greater excess. Also, the capsule is not just a passive structure that holds everything together with a pair of detected thickening (communication). It is also a large proprioceptive final organ. If the capsule becomes dense, it will affect the propriocepation system as it will stimulate localized mechanoreceptors and increase the mechanism of forward feeding inside the joint; which, in turn, can increase the tightness of the capsule. This can cause continuous loop tightening of the capsule, stimulation of mechanoreceptors, increase of local stabilizing muscles (e.g., rotator cuffs), which will eventually increase the tension around the joint. It is very suspected that the adhesive capsule has a strong neurological component. [22] Special tests Shoulder shoulder sign (inability to raise the arm to 90° hijacking without raising the entire shoulder blade or shoulder girdle) was previously associated with rotator cuff disease, but was more commonly associated with glenogumeral arthritis, adhesive capsule and massive cuff tears. Jan, etc. investigated the reliability of 3 functional tests in patients with shoulder pathologies using a non-experimental study [23] Bending the shoulders on the neck (Fig. 1A) + abduction + ER Similar to ADLs, such as combing the hair, wearing a necklace to the shoulder blade (Fig. 1B) Shoulder extension + adducation + IC Similar to ADLs such a form-fitting bra, wearing a jacket, getting into the back pocket opposite the shoulder blade (Fig. 1C) Bending the shoulders + horizontal ADDuction (Scarf test - cross-adduction of the body). These tests require appropriate elbow, skaplotoratic and thoracic mobility, and these areas should be cleared of pathology first. If the patient is unable to complete movement, other structures outside the shoulder joint may be a limiting factor. The reliability of the three tests was excellent and the correlation between them was moderate. [23] These functional measures appear to be useful for their objectivity in measuring shoulder dysfunction. However, even though the tests mimic fundamental ADL movements, the direct link between these tests and the activities of everyday life cannot be assumed. There is no other specific clinical test for adhesive capsulete not recorded in the literature, and there remains no gold standard for diagnosing adhesive capsule. Despite the lack of confirmed diagnostic criteria, a recent study identified a set of clinical identifiers that reached a general consensus among experts on the early stages of primary (idiopathic) adhesive capsule. [4] The following tools can be used to determine the stage of the adhesive capsule and/or its state of irritability. Consensus was reached on eight clinical identifiers raised in two discrete areas (pain and movement) as as an age component. [4] The movement Global loss of active and passive ROM Pain in the final range in all directionsOperation of physical therapy The final treatment of the adhesive capsule remains unclear, despite the fact that numerous interventions have been studied. For most patients, enrollment in the physiotherapy program is the key to recovery. [11] Also, a meta-analysis conducted by Tedla & Sangadala in 2019 concluded that PNF is very effective in reducing pain, increasing ROG, improving function and reducing disability. [24] The importance of patient education For the treatment of adhesive capsule, patient education is essential in order to reduce frustration and encourage compliance. It is important to stress that while the full spectrum of movement can never be restored, the condition will spontaneously resolve and austerity will shrink significantly over time. It is also useful to give quality instructions to the patient and create an appropriate home exercise program (HEP) that is easy to follow as daily exercise is critical to alleviating symptoms. [5] Below the video gives a good HEP contour for phase 3 AC. [25] Methods Initial phase: painful, freezing pain and excluding other potential causes of your frozen shoulder is the focus at this point. Very gentle shoulder mobilization, muscle releases, acupuncture, dry need, and kinesiology to relieve pain can help during this painful phase of inflammation. It has been shown that applying a TENS machine reduces pain and increases range of motion. Modalities, such as hot packages, can be applied before or during treatment. The moist heat used in combination with stretching can help improve muscle stretching and range of motion, reducing muscle viscosity and nerve-muscular median relaxation. In the randomized Bal et al. study, patients improved with combination therapy, which included hot and cold packages applied before and after shoulder exercises. However, Jewell et al claimed that ultrasound, massage, iontophoresis and phonophoresis reduced the chances of positive results. [12] Green et al. suggested that there is no evidence of ultrasound exposure to shoulder pain (mixed diagnosis), adhesive capsule, or adhesive dendinitis rotator cuff. [26] As hints, treatment should be individual for each person based on the stage of the condition. Pain relief should be the focus of the initial phase, also known as the painful freezing phase. During this time, any measures that cause pain should be avoided. Better results were found in patients who performed simple painless exercise rather than intensive physical therapy [1]In patients with high irritability, a range of low-intensity motor exercises and short durations can alter joint receptor input, reduce pain and reduce muscle protection. Stretch marks can be carried out from one to five seconds in a painless range, 2-3 times a day. Pulleys can be used to aid range of motion and stretching, on the patient's ability to tolerate exercises. Basic exercises include pendulum exercises, passive exaltation lying forward, passive outer rotation with an arm in about 40 degree hijacking in the plane of a jumper, and an active auxiliary range of motion in expansion, horizontal adducation and internal rotation. [5] Although only one patient is performed, Ruiz and others performed positional stretching of the coracohumeral ligament in the initial phase of the adhesive capsule. [27] Shoulder and arm defects (DASH) rates improved from 65 to 36, while shoulder pain and disability index (SPADI) scores improved from 72 to 8, and passive external rotation increased from 20 to 71 degrees. The stretch marks being performed focus on providing positional low load and prolonged stretching to CHL and the interval rotator capsule area after the fiber's anatomical orientation. The rationale for this was to produce tissue remodeling through gentle and prolonged stress stretching on restricting tissues. While a cause-and-effect relationship cannot be struck off on a single occasion, this report could assist in further investigations into therapeutic strategies to improve function and reduce the loss of range of movement in the shoulder and the role that CHL plays in doing so. [27] In the case of an adhesive capsule, physical therapy may also be in addition to other treatments (such as steroid injections as discussed earlier), especially to improve shoulder movement range. [16] Bal et al suggested that concomitant steroid injection exercises should include isometric strengthening in all ranges after movement has returned to 90% of normal ranges, Araband exercises in all planes, scalar system stabilization exercises, and later, extended muscle strengthening by dumbbells. [6]) Phase Two: Reduced motion range Gentle and specific shoulder joint mobilization and stretching, muscle release techniques, acupuncture, dry needs and exercises to regain its range and strength are used to promptly return to function. It is necessary to take care not to introduce any exercises that are too aggressive. In particular, mobilization by motion style (MWM) methods looks more effective and effective than stretching exercises alone. [28] MWM are specific methods performed by appropriate shoulder physiotherapists. The promising Griggs et al study demonstrated the success of non-surgical treatment through a four-directional shoulder stretching exercise program in which 90% of patients reported a satisfactory outcome. [16] During the second stage of treatment, movement with the mobilization and mobilization of the final range is recommended. Mobilization with movement can also correct spectrogumeral rhythm significantly better than mobilizing the final range. The goal of mobilizing the final range is not only to restore the common range, but also to stretch contract feather-joint structures, while mobilization with movement to restore painless movements to joints that had an anthalgic limitation of range of motion. [13] Gaspar and Willis. [7] It was demonstrated that physical therapy paired with dynamic cleavage had better results compared to only physical therapy or dynamic breakdown alone. Patients in this group of combination therapies received physiotherapy twice a week and the shoulder dynasplin system (SDS) for daily stretching of the final range. The combination of physiotherapy with dynamic splintering had significant improvements in active, external rotation in patients with adhesive capsule. [7] Phase Three: Resolution Give you progressions of exercises, including strengthening exercises to control and maintain an increased range of motion. Physiotherapy is most effective in this phase of thawing. Progressed primarily by increasing the frequency and duration of stretching, while maintaining the same intensity as being tolerated by the patient. Stretching can be carried out for longer periods and sessions per day can be increased. As the patient's irritability levels decrease, more intense stretching and exercise using a device such as pulleys can be performed to influence tissue remodeling. [5] Rational for specific methods Mechanical changes that occur as a result of mobilization may include decaying adhesions, rebuilding collagen, or increasing fiber slipping when specific movements emphasize certain parts of capsule tissue. These methods are designed to increase joint mobility by inductive changes in the formation of synovial fluid. High-grade mobilization (HGMT) methods have shown that they are useful for improving movement range in patients with adhesive capsules for at least three months. [10] In the Vermeulen et al. study, patients were given inferior, posterior and front slip, as well as head shoulder distraction. These methods were performed at higher altitudes and angles of abduction if the glenogumeral range of joint movement increased during treatment. Patients who received HGMT received these mobilizations at Maitland Grades III and IV in accordance with tolerance of subjects with the intention of treating stiffness. Patients were allowed to report blunt pain until he changed the implementation of the mobilization or persisted for more than four hours after treatment. However, patients receiving low-grade mobilization (LGMT) methods at Mailtand Grades I or II reported no pain. Statistically significant larger estimates of changes were found in the HGMT group for passive abduction (at 3 and 12 months) and for active and passive external rotation (in 12 months) compared to low-grade mobilization methods. High-end mobilization techniques appear to be more effective at improving joint mobility and reducing disability. However, further research is needed to investigate whether HGMTs are applied in earlier stages of the adhesive capsule. [10] Johnson, etc. reported that joint mobilizations, in particular glenogumeral slips can help reduce deficiency in outer rotation, more than overdog glenogumeral slips. [18] Both techniques had significant pain reductions, but there were greater improvements in the external range of movement rotation with the treatment of rear mobilization. [18] Ultimate range mobilization is also more effective than mid-level mobilization in increasing movement and functional mobility. Overall, there are significant beneficial effects of joint mobilization and exercise for patients with adhesive capsule. The rationale for stretching research on the duration and intensity of connective tissue stretching created 3 findings. First, this high intensity, short stretch duration helps the elastic response, while low intensity, prolonged stretching time helps the plastic response. Secondly, there is a direct correlation between the resulting proportion of plastic, constant reinforcement and stretch duration. Finally, there is a direct correlation between the degree of injury or weakening of the sprained tissues and the intensity of the stretch. McClure et al, stated that the maximum TERT (Total Final Range Time) or the total amount of time during which the joint is kept at positions near the final range will vary for each person and often depends on personal circumstances such as their work or other duties that may prevent the patient from increasing TERT. [27] The Progression Guide methods and exercises should only progress as patient irritability decreases. A patient's response to treatment should be based on their anesthesia, improved satisfaction and functional benefits, rather than restoring range of motion. Typically, patients are discharged when a significant reduction in pain is achieved, plateau movement increases are noticed over a period of time, and after improved functional movement and satisfaction have reached their peak. Progression for stretching through dynamic fission is also based on patient tolerance. Gaspar and Willis suggested that if patients experience discomfort or stiffness lasting more than an hour after removal of slashing, the duration of treatment is reduced over the next two stretching sessions. Only after stretching a total of 60 minutes (30 minutes twice a day) is tolerated, or suggest that the tension then increases, every two weeks based on tolerance, without discomfort lasting more than one hour after each stretching session. [7] Despite extensive research, further promising randomized studies are needed comparing different treatments to formulate precise guidelines for diagnosing and treating the idiopathic adhesive capsule. [16] Lack of validity, poor standardization of terminology, methodology, and outcomes in studies undermines clinical application. Therefore, more thorough research is needed to compare the cost and effectiveness of exercise therapy interventions. [9] [5][27][1][6][13][10][7] Exercises: Figure 1: 1: auxiliary movements of the final range when bending, outer rotation and expansion. Figure 2: Active end-range auxiliary movements in the inner rotation, horizontal adduction, and bending. Figure 3: Coracohumeral Ligament Stretch Figure 4: Active auxiliary movements at altitude and outer rotation using a plaque. Figure 5: Ardent mobilization of the head shoulder in the lying down. Figure 6: Anteriosis shoulder mobilization in the lying area. The final treatment for the adhesive capsule remains unclear, despite the fact that numerous interventions have been studied. Previously published promising studies of effective treatment have demonstrated conflicting results to improve shoulder band movement in patients with the condition. [27] Non-surgical interventions include patient education, modalities, stretching exercises, and joint mobilizations. [5] [9] Levin and others. [5] Studies have suggested that many patients have benefited from physical therapy and shown reduced symptoms, increased mobility, and/or functional improvement. [9] Cochrane review green, etc., states that there is no evidence that physiotherapy alone has benefits for the adhesive capsule. [26] Rational for motor control exercises There is scientific support that muscle protection and pathological movement of shoulder control can play a significant role in restrictive shoulder movements; not exclusively a contract capsule. Therefore, motor control and exercise therapy are indicated in a clinical setting. Goals: Restoring a normalized recruitment model. Balanced set of agonists /antagonists/synergic shoulder muscles (in terms of strength, timing and coordination). Appropriate muscle gain for low and high loads for the shoulder (pathological shoulder tends to use a high level of muscle gain for low loads). Restoration of engine control with isometric, concentric and eccentric muscle activity. Additional considerations for clinical practice Since adhesive capsule is painful, chronic and generally stressful for humans, relaxation methods are important to consider and integrate into the treatment plan. Some strategies include: Methods of Desensitization Breathing Exercise Cognitive Behavioral Therapy Distracts the Patient During More Aggravated Treatment or Exercise. The importance of considering the shoulder complex as a member of the kinetic chain It is estimated that during the movement of the shoulders, 50% of the forces produced in the shoulder girdle originate from the waist down (lower extremities), 30% comes from around the trunk (core stabilization) and 20% comes from local effort (upper limbs and shoulder complex). If we can start incorporating the kinetic chain as a whole during rehabilitation, it will allow for much more effective movements around the shoulder joint. What you need is mobility stability throughout the kinetic chain, in order to optimize the function of the shoulder. Conservative rehabilitation - What works? What are we doing? As for manual therapy, there's an interesting Cochrane review of Page et al. 2014[29] which shows that a combination of manual therapy and exercise may not be as effective as steroid injections in the short term. However, the results are generally to no avail. Vermeulen et al. (2006) [30] showed that it is possible to increase the range and reduce pain in high-end mobilization (Grade III &30] IV) joint GH. On the contrary, Page et al. [29] suggested that there was limited evidence or benefit from manual therapy and exercise when used in isolation to treat an adhesive capsule. The strongest support at the moment suggests that conservative treatments (manual therapy and exercise) should be used in assistive drugs with corticosteroid injection. Diapherenzial diagnosis Some conditions may be present with similar abnormalities and should be included in the diagnosis of deference. These include, but are not limited to, osteoarthritis, acute calciphic bursitis/tendinitis, rotator cuff pathology, Parsonage-Turner syndrome, blocked proctic spinal protrusion, or proximal shoulder fracture. [14] Osteoarthritis of the shoulder (A). Both may have limited hijacking and external AROM rotation, but with the VA, the PROM will not be restricted. The IA will also present the biggest limitations when bending, while it is the least affected movement with an adhesive capsule. X-rays can be used to eliminate the pathology of osseatic structures. Acromioclicular joint dysfunction: There is probably a high arch of pain, pain with cross-indexion of the body (Scarf test) and palpation of the acromioclavicular joint itself. Bunions. Bursitis manifests itself very similar to adhesive capsulitis, especially compared to early phases. Patients with bursitis will experience non-traumatic onset of severe pain, with most movements painful. The main difference will be achieved the amount of UM. The adhesive capsule will be extremely limited and painful, while patients with bursitis, although painful, will have greater UM. Parsonage-Turner Syndrome (PTS). PTS occurs due to inflammation of brahial plexus. Patients will be present without a history of trauma and with painful limitations of all movements. Pain with PTS usually abates much faster than with a sticky capsule, and patients end up exhibiting neurological problems (muscle atrophy or weakness) seen weeks after the initial onset of pain. Rotator cuff pathology (RC). The main way to distinguish the pathologies of the LCD from the adhesive capsule is to study the specific limitations of ROM. The adhesive capsule manifests itself with limitations in the capsule pattern, while LCD lesions are usually not. RC tendinopathy may be similar to the first stage of the adhesive capsule, as external rotation loss is limited and strength tests may be MRI scans and ultrasounds can be used to detect soft tissue disorders of soft tissues and the laboratory. Rear foreorth. In the posterior protrain, shoulder pain and limited ROM may penetrate, but, unlike the adhesive capsule, this is due to a specific traumatic event. If the patient is unable to fully strain his hand by bending his shoulder, the clinician should suspect a dis foreord back hand. Hollmann et al. (2015) reported in its study that all patients suspected of being frozen shoulder showed a significant increase in movement range under anesthesia, confirming that some cases may have been mistakenly diagnosed with a frozen shoulder, and that loss of range of motion could not be explained only by capsule contractions. [31] The results measures Currently diagnose the primary adhesive capsule based on the patient's history and physical examination. [4] The following measures of the result were used in studies of the adhesive capsule. Roy et al investigated the psychometric properties of SPADI, DASH, ASES and SST were investigated. [32] Reliability, design validity, and responsiveness were found to be favorable for various shoulder pathologies, but the review does not apply to their strength relative to the adhesive capsule, in particular. Medical treatment While capsule adhesive is a self-limiting condition, it can take up to two to three years to eliminate symptoms and some patients may never fully resume full movement. Therefore, it is important to treat pain, loss of movement and limited function, rather than an approach to waiting and considering. Various interventions concerning treatment of synovitis and inflammation have been investigated and capsule contractions such as drugs, corticosteroid injections, bloating, manipulation and surgery have been modified. Even though many of these treatments have shown significant benefits due to a lack of intervention at all, the final management schemes remain unclear. It is assumed that primary treatment of adhesive capsule should be based on physical therapy and anti-inflammatory measures,[16] these results, however, do not always surpass other interventions. Corticosteroid corticosteroid injections are often used to manage inflammation because it is clear that inflammation is key in the early stages of the condition. The injections are aimed at reducing the painful synovitis arising in the shoulder. [5] This can limit the development of fibrosis and adhoods in the capsule, potentially reducing the natural history of the disease. [6] [1] Therefore, they are considered more useful at an early, painful and freezing stage of the condition due to inflammation, rather than in the latter stages, when the fidget contracture is more evident. [16] [15] [1] [33] It is important to note that often when we enter there is a local anesthetic that will reduce pain, and this can help with improved control of the engine of the shoulder complex. Many studies have been conducted and examined comparing corticosteroid injections with physical therapy, but the results have been controversial. It has been concluded that corticosteroid injections provide significantly greater short-term benefits (4-6 weeks), especially in anesthesia, but there is no difference in outcomes at 12 weeks compared to physical therapy. [16] [6] [5] [15] [33] [34] Most studies, however, investigate corticosteroid injections as a treatment option, do not determine what stage patients are at, and have had variations in the volumes of corticosteroids used. It has been shown that the benefits may not only depend on the dose, but also depend on the duration of the symptoms as well. [15] Because the injection was previously received, the faster the person would recover. Contraindications to corticosteroid use include a history of infection, coagulopathy, or uncontrolled diabetes. [15] Ultimately, corticosteroid injections have been shown to have success rates ranging from 44-80%[16] with rapid pain relief and improved function, which occurs mainly in the first weeks of treatment. This is the first line of treatment for patients with pain as their overwhelming complaint in the early stages of the adhesive capsule. [6] [5] Although intraconsumular steroid injection may be beneficial at an early stage, its effect may be small and not well-groomed[34] and should be offered in conjunction with physical therapy. [6] [15] Location of Injections: i) Subacromial Injection II) Intramodern Injection A recent study by Cho and others. [35] It showed that the combination of both injections had an additive effect on increasing the range of movement around the shoulder. Recommendations: Injections to relieve shoulder disability and pain. Physical therapy to improve movement in the stage of painful freezing. [5] If patients do not progress within 3 to 6 weeks only with physical therapy or patient symptoms deteriorate, they should be offered the possibility of corticosteroid injections. [5] Manipulation under anesthesia (MUA) Manipulation under anesthesia involves controlled and forced, final positioning of the shoulder relative to glenoid in physiological motion planes (bending, abduction, rotation) in patients with anesthetic unit to brahial plexus. The unit allows the shoulder muscles to fully relax so that the force can actually reach the capstuloligmentary structures. [5] Long arm levers have traditionally been used, but short arm lever methods are now used to minimize potential risks. [5] Although success rates are high, ranging from 75-100%, manipulations are considered recent and are not shown unless symptoms persist despite adequate conservative treatment for six months. [1] [5] [16] [33] This is due to numerous risks and complications, such as: fork, glenoid, scapuular or shoulder nerve paralysis, rotator cuff rupture, hearthrosis, laboratory tears and traction damage to brachial plexus or peripheral nerve. [1] [5] [16] However, manipulation has been shown to be the most reliable way to improve range of movement and reduce pain and disability in patients resistant to physical therapy[1][1][16],[16] and these complications can be minimized through appropriate methods and precautions. A good prognosis is often indicated if during manipulation there is a sonic and tangible release of fabric. [5] A wide post-manipulation program begins immediately after the capsule is released. [5] [8] They are often assigned an active auxiliary range of movements that must be performed every two hours during waking up over the next 24 hours. Patients are also instructed to icing their shoulder for 20 minutes every two hours with their hand resting behind their heads. Post-manipulation programs are designed to maintain benefits in shoulder mobility and should specifically address each person's violations. [5] Contraindications to anesthesia manipulation include a history of fracture or distraction, moderate bone loss, or inability to undergo after the procedure. Even though anesthesia manipulation has shown its efficacy in improving function and movement in patients with adhesive capsuleitis, more randomized controlled trials comparing this treatment to competing treatments before widespread use are needed. [8] Mobilization of translation under anesthesia Alternative to traditional MUA is the mobilization of translation under anesthesia, which has been found in an attempt to avoid complications related to the traditional approach. This procedure involves using sliding techniques with a static end range of capsule stress with a short amplitude of high thrust speed if necessary, as opposed to angular stretching forces in manipulation under anesthesia. [5] [8]2-3 30 seconds low-speed kits, vibrating mobilizations (Maitland IV-IV+) are performed first in the same directions as traditional anesthesia manipulations (front, back and incomplete). If an immediate increase in passive range of motion is not visible, a high speed, low amplitude of manipulation can be performed. This technique appears to be a safe and effective alternative to treating patients resistant to conservative treatment, however, higher-level research is needed to be tested. [5] If the patient has persistent symptoms, especially when decreasing shoulder movement after at least 6 months of conservative treatment, manipulation under anesthesia is an effective technique for increasing mobility, pain, and disability. Contraindications and complications do exist and should be passed on to the patient. Arthroscopic capsule release (arthroscopic arthroscopic arthroscopic capsule release is the preferred method over open release in patients with painful, disabling adhesive capsule, which at least up to 6 months of non-surgical treatment. The purpose of this surgery is capsule release, where they cut and remove a thickened, swollen, inflamed capsule, and help restore normal joint movement. It has been found to be a reliable and effective method of restoring range of motion and is particularly recommended for diabetics and in patients with postoperative or post-fractured capzulit glue. [16] It has become the most popular treatment for an unheard of adhesive capsule, despite having no higher-level trials comparing it to MUA. [33] This is due to allowing for more controlled and selective release of the contract capsule compared to manipulations that tear capstuloligmentary structures and avoid MUA-related complications. [5] There is a debate about which structures should be arthroscopically released with a rotator cuff and coracohumeral ligament, which are the most common structures produced. [5] Recommendations: If the patient does not respond to at least 6 months of conservative treatment, arthroscopic release of capsillaries alone or in combination with manipulation, it has been shown to be effective in restoring the range of movement. Avoids complications associated with manipulation under anesthesia and is recommended for patients with diabetes and postoperative or after fractures adhesive capzulit. After surgical considerations After surgery, regardless of surgical technology has been used, clinicians should consider the integrity of local nerves. They can also be affected by arthroscopy because there are many local nerves surrounding the shoulder joint (in close proximity to where the arthroscopy portals are located). Also, the shoulder won't be overly mobile for months (possibly years). After the operation, there could be a sudden recovery of movement, which could in turn irritate the nerves. The main nerves of concern are: Radial Ulnar Median Axillary Suprascapular Musculocutaneous Long thoracic Also perhaps brachial plexus in general Assessment of cervical spine mobility and nerve root should also be prioritised after surgery. Other treatments for nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have traditionally been given to patients with adhesive capsule, but there is no high-level evidence to confirm their effectiveness. [1] [33] Oral steroids have also been used in these patients and lead to some improved function, but their effects have not shown long-term benefits and, combined with their known adverse side effects, should not be seen as a conventional treatment. [1] [33] [36] Another technique that shows some short-term benefit in rapidly alleviating symptoms is the impairment of arthrography. This technique involves injecting the solution (saline solution alone or in combination with corticosteroids), causing the capsule to rupture hydrostatic pressure. [16] It has not yet been determined whether joints are ingested with saline in combination with corticosteroids more good than bloating saline alone or corticosteroid injection alone. [16] There is a lack of reliable evidence in determining the effectiveness of this technique and further studies are needed to test any clinical benefit. [16] [33] [37] Super-school nerve blocks are thought to temporarily disrupt pain signals to allow normalization of pathological, neurological processes perpetuating pain and disability. [33] There is some evidence of benefit with superpsaculate nerve blocks, although the exact mechanism behind this benefit remains unclear, and to establish this necessary higher-level evidence to establish this as a treatment for an adhesive capsule. Hydrodiplacation (capable arthrography) emerged as a potential non-surgical option in the management of the frozen shoulder. While therapeutic regimens will vary between units, common to most is the infoliation of a large volume of saline containing steroids, a local anesthetic and a contrasting material (dye) in a GH joint led by imaging (30 ml). The goal is to expand the capsule. The stated benefits of the procedure are achieved through hydraulic dehydration of the capsule (sometimes called pops in the capsule) and the main purpose of the initial work was to achieve a capsule rupture. However, there is little in the way of evidence to determine whether the capsule gap should be reached in order for the procedure to be successful, or whether it is capsule dehydration that is most important. Most studies comment on their intention to achieve a ruptured capsule, but have not investigated this. [38] A cochrane review in 2008 demonstrated only evidence of silver levels that support hydrodylation as a modality of treatment to improve short-term pain and function, up to three months. Clinical bottom line According to the Green et al cochrane review,[26] there is little evidence that would have proteged or disproved the use of any of the common interventions listed for the adhesive capsule. There is also no research with objective data supporting the timing of the transition to invasive therapies, such as anesthesia manipulation or arthroscopic release, which are not usually performed until 6 months of conservative treatment have been unsuccessful. Unfortunately, this exposes more than 40% of patients with adhesive capsule to a prolonged period of disability and pain. [16] Treatment should be adapted to the stage of the disease as the condition has predictable progression. [1] In addition, we need to consider the pathogen (primary and secondary factors) of the disease for the individual. We should also be ahead with our patients regarding the nature of the disease, as well as factors that cannot be directly addressed with physiotherapy (e.g., if the patient is diabetic, it will potentially be a longer recovery and require multidisciplinary team intervention). Managing expectations with your patient is key to a successful recovery. We, like appropriate intervention at the correct stage. We have to manage pain levels as quickly as possible. This is very important, and again, if we can do things that can help them sleep, that can have a huge benefit on their pain levels, but also their emotional state. At the stage of painful freezing treatment should be sent for pain relief with pain management. NSAIDs, physical therapy and steroid injections are all suggested interventions at this stage of the adhesive capsule. [15] [1] After the patient is in the adhesive stage, injections are no longer indicated as the inflammatory stage of the disease has passed. Instead, the focus should shift to more aggressive stretching and MUA or surgical release if symptoms don't respond to conservative treatment and quality of life is compromised. [1] [5] [16] [33] There is no definitive treatment for adhesive capdulite. However, the literature suggests that interventions should be adapted to the stage of the disease based on its progressive nature. During the initial/painful stage of freezing, treatment should be edgerated for pain relief with pain-healing activity. NSAIDs and steroid injections, stretching, strengthening and spectrum movement exercises as well as Maitland Grade I-II mobilization have been proposed to improve function and reduce pain and disability. As the patient progresses to the adhesive stage, the intervention should focus on aggressive, final stretches in conjunction with Maitland's Grade III-IV mobilization. At six months old, if functional disability persists despite conservative treatment, mobilization in anesthesia (MUA) or arthroscopic capular release may be shown. The bottom line remains individualizing the patient's treatment plan, as well as focusing on the quality of movement rather than over-obsessed with the amount of movement. Excellent resources:

Reference books ↑ 1.00 1.01 1.02 1.03 1.04 1.05 1.06 1.07 1.08 1.09 1 1.10 1.11 1.12 1.13 1.14 1.15 1.16 1.17 1.18 1.19 Dias R, Cutts S, Massoud S. Frozen shoulder. BMJ 2005; 331:1453-6 ↑ Health 2:05 / 2:22 What is a frozen shoulder? (When the shoulder thickens and tightens) Available from: (last access to 25.11.2019) ↑ Hakim AJ, Cherkasy LCH, Spector TD, MacGregor AJ. Genetic associations between a frozen shoulder and a tennis elbow: a study of a female twin. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2003;42:739-742. ^ 4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 Walmsley S, Rivett DA, Osmotherly PG. Adhesive Capsule: Establishing consensus on stage 1 clinical identifiers using delphi technique. Phys Ther 2009;89:906-917. ↑ 5.00 5.01 5.02 5.03 5.04 5.05 5.06 5.07 5.08 5.09 5.10 5.11 5.12 5.13 5.14 5.15 5.16 5.17 5.18 5.19 5.20 5.21 5.22 5.23 5.24 5.25 5.26 5.27 5.28 5.29 5.30 5.31 5.32 5.33 5.34 5.35 5.36 5.37 5.38 5.39 Kelley M, Mcclure P, Leggin B. Frozen shoulder: Evidence and a proposed model guiding rehabilitation. J Orthop Sports Fizz 2009;39:135-148. ↑ 6,0 6,1 6,2 6,3 6,4 6,5 6,6 6,7 6,8 6,9 A, Eskioglu E, Gulec B, Aydog E, Gurcay E, Cakci A. Effectiveness of corticosteroid injections in adhesive capsule. Clinical rehabilitation in 2008; 22:503-512. ↑ 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 Gaspar P, Willis B. Clay capsule and dynamic splitting: controlled, cohort study. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders 2009;10:111. ↑ 8.0 8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 8.6 Boyles RE, Flynn TW, Whitman JM. Manipulation after the regional intrascale anesthetic unit for the shoulder glue of the capsule: a series of cases. Man Terr 2005:10;164-171. ↑ 9.0 9.1 9.2 9.3 9.4 9.5 9.6 Cleland J, Durall C.J. Physical therapy for adhesive capsule: Systematic examination. Physiotherapy 2002;88:450-457. ^ 10.0 10.1 10.2 10.3 10.4 10.5 10.6 10.7 Vermeulen HM, Rozing PM, Obermann WR, Cessie S, Vlieland T. Comparison of high-end and low-grade methods of mobilization in the management of glue capsulitis of the shoulder: Randomized clinical trials Phys Ther 2006;86:355-368. ^ 11.0 11.1 11.2 Mesian K, Chang KV. Frozen shoulder. InStatPearls [Internet] 2019 February 25. You publish StatPearls. Available from: (last access 25.11.2019) ↑ 12.0 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 Jewell DV, Riddle DL, Thacker LR. Interventions related to increased or reduced likelihood of pain reduction and improved function in patients with adhesive capsule: retrospective cohort trial. Phys Ther 2009;89:419-429 ↑ 13.0 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 JI JI, Chang C, Chen S, Wang S, Lin J. Mobilization methods from subjects with frozen shoulder syndrome: Randomized multiple treatment. Phys Ther 2007;87:1307-1315. ^ 14.0 14.1 14.2 Klein CM. Jama Internet 2007;2-9. ^ 15.0 15.1 15.2 15.3 15.4 15.5 15.6 15.7 Blanchard B, Barr C, Cherizola FFL. Effectiveness of corticosteroid injections compared to physiotherapy interventions for adhesive capsulitis: systematic review. Physiotherapy 2010; 96: 95-107. ↑ 16.00 16.01 16.02 16.03 16.04 16.05 16.06 16.07 16.08 16.09 16.10 16.11 16.12 16.13 16.14 16.15 16.16 Brue S, etc. Idiopathic shoulder glue capsule: review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Artrosc. 2007. 15:1048-1054. ↑ 17.0 17.1 17.2 17.3 Mitsch J, Casey J, McKinnis R, Kegerreis C, Stikeleter J. J Manips Terr 2004;12:153-159. ^ 18.0 18.1 18.2 Johnson AJ, Godge JJ, Zimmerman GJ, Ounanian LL. Effects of antheriasis against posterior joint mobilization on the outer range of movement rotation in patients with shoulder adhesive capzulit. J Orthop Sports Fizz 2000;37:88-99. ^19.0 19.1 Millar AL, Jashway PA, Iton W, Christensen F. Retrospective, descriptive study of shoulder results in outpatient physical therapy. J Orthop Sports Fiz 2006;36:403-414. Retrieved 2014-05-27. Adhesive screen of the capsule. Available from: [last access 01/12/12] ↑ docforjcrm. Frozen shoulder exam. Available from: [last access 01/12/12] ↑ Jia X, Ji JH, Petersen SA, Keefer J, McFarland EG. Clinical evaluation of the signs of the shoulder shoulder. Clinical orthopedics and comorbidities. 2008 Nov 1;466(11):2813-9.Available from: (last accessed 24.11.2019) ↑ 23.0 23.1 Jan J . J Orthop Sports Fizz 2006;36:572-576. ^Tedla JS, Sangadala DR. Proprioceptive methods of neuromuscular facilitation in adhesive capsule: systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of musculoskeletal musculoskeletal interactions. 2019 1 December 2019 (4):482-91. ^ Bob and Brad 60 Second Frozen Shoulder Exercises &; Stretches-Glue Capsule Available from: (last accessed 25.11.2019) ↑ 26.0 26.1 26.2 Green S, Buchbi Rnder, Hetrick SE. Physiotherapy interventions for shoulder pain (Review). Cochrane Library 2010;9:1-105. ↑ 27.0 27.1 27.2 27.3 27.4 Ruiz J. Journal of Manual and Manipulative Therapy Vol 17: No 1: 58-63. Retrieved 2014-05-27. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine. 2013 January 5;45(1):87-91. Available from: (last available 25.11.2019) ↑ 29.0 29.1 29.2 Page, M.J., Greene, S., Kramer, S., Johnston, R.V., McBain, B., Chau, M., & Buchbinder, R. Manual therapy and exercises on adhesive capsule (frozen shoulder). Cochrane database Syst Rev. 2014 August 26;(8):CD011275. 10.1002/14651858.CD011275. Vermeulen, N.M., Rosing, P.M, Obermann, V.R., le Sessie, S., & Vliet Vlieland, T.P. Comparison of high.M class and low.M class mobilization techniques in shoulder-side adhesive capsulite management: randomized controlled trial. Fiss Terr. 2006 Mar;86(3):355-68. ^ Hollmann L, Halaki M, Haber M, Herbert R, Dalton S, Ginn K. Determining the contribution of active rigidity in reducing the range of motion in the frozen shoulder. Physiotherapy 2015;101:e585. ^ Roy J, McDermid J, Woodhouse L. Shoulder Function Measurement: Systematic review of four questionnaires. Arthritis Reum 2009;61(5):623-632. ↑ 33.00 33.01 33.02 33.03 33.04 33.05 33.06 33.07 33.08 33.09 33.10 Neviaser AS, Hannafin JA. Adhesive Capsule: Overview of current treatment. Am J Sports Med 2010;38:2346-56. ^ 34.0 34.1 Buchbinder R, Green S, Youd JM. Corticosteroid injections in shoulder pain. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2009;1:CD004016. Retrieved 2014-05-27. Strategy for treating the frozen shoulder. Kline Orthop Surg. 2019;11(3):249-257. doi:10.4055/cios.2019.11.3.249 ↑ Buchbinder R, Green S, Youd JM, Johnston RV. Oral steroids for glue Кокран база даних Syst Rev 2009;1:CD006189. ↑ Buchbinder R, Green S, Youd JM, Johnston RV, Cumpston M. Артрографічне здування при клейкому капсуліті (заморожене плече). Кокран бази даних Syst Rev 2009;1:CD007005. ↑ 38.0 38.1 Римарук S, Персик С. Показання до гідродиляції для замороженого плеча. EFORT Open Rev. 2017;2(11):462-468. Опубліковано 2017 Листопад 22. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.2.160061 ↑ Buchbinder R, Green S, Youd JM, Johnston RV, Cumpston M. Arthrographic здування клейового капсуліту (заморожене плече). Кокран бази даних Syst Rev 2008;23:CD007005. 2008;23:CD007005.